Undue Influence

Recognition/Prevention

A Reference Aid

This reference aid is intended to assist legal practitioners in recognizing and dealing with potential undue influence and preventing successful challenges to the wills they prepare. It summarizes the recommended practices set out in greater depth in the BCLI publication *Recommended Practices for Wills Practitioners Relating to Potential Undue Influence: A Guide* ("the BCLI Guide"). The contents of both this reference aid and the Guide reflect the work of an interdisciplinary BCLI project committee supported by the Notary Foundation and the Lawyers Insurance Fund.

While the Guide and this reference aid focus on wills practice, the recommended practices and red flags that they outline are also relevant to the preparation of other personal planning documents, such as powers of attorney and representation agreements.

For more information, see the Guide available on the BCL1 website at http://www.bcli.org.





CHECKLIST OF RECOMMENDED PRACTICES Undue Influence - Recognition/Prevention

The practices in the checklist below are recommended for use by wills practitioners if they suspect that a client is susceptible to undue influence or that a client's will instructions do not reflect the client's genuine wishes.

See the BCLI publication Recommended Practices for Wills Practitioners Relating to Potential Undue Influence: A Guide ("the BCLI Guide") for further information.

YES N/A	1. Interview will-maker alone (Basic Rule).		 Does anyone help you more than others? Who arranged/suggested this meeting?
	Rationale:		 Does anyone help you make decisions? Who does your banking?
	 Ensure it is clear that professional is acting for will-maker. 	YES N/A	Has anyone asked you for money? A gift?
	 Professional needs to avoid appearance of a joint retainer. 		 Explore whether will-maker is a victim of abuse or neglect in other contexts.
	 Confidentiality of solicitor/client communications. Professional needs to satisfy him/herself that will-maker has testamentary capacity. 		Sample questions to consider (note need for tact, discretion and awareness for client's physical safety; refer to community resources if and when
	Exceptions for taking instructions from another person (A):		appropriate):
	 A is disinterested and is acting as an interpreter (no kinship, financial interest, or social connection). Including A (a relative or interested person) is unavoidable. Remain alert. A is Spouse. Remain alert. If any concerns that 		 Has anyone ever hurt you? Has anyone taken anything that was yours without asking? Has anyone scolded or threatened you? Are you alone a lot? Has anyone ever failed to help you take care of yourself when you needed help?
YES N/A	spouse is not speaking accurately for will-maker, meet with will-maker alone.		 Are there people you like to see? Have you seen these people or done things recently with them? Has anyone ever threatened to take you out of your home and put you in a care facility?
	Ask non-leading, open ended questions to determine factors operating on will-maker's mind.	YES N/A	5. Obtain relevant information from third parties
	Examples:		when possible and if the will-maker consents.
	How/why did you decide to divide your estate this way?What was important to you in deciding to divide	YES N/A	Obtain medical assessment if mental capacity is also in question, but remember that mental capacity to make a will is ultimately a legal test.
VCO 114	your estate this way? • Why did you choose [proposed executor] as executor of your will?	YES N/A	7. Compile list of events or circumstances indicating undue influence.
YES N/A	3. Explore whether will-maker is in a relationship		See list of "Red Flags to Watch For" opposite.
	of dependency, domination or special confidence or trust.	YES N/A	8. Make and retain appropriate records whenever red flags are present.
	See examples of open-ended probing questions in BCLI Guide, pp 33 -34.		Detailed notes; checklist recommended; information
	Sample questions to consider:		supporting practitioner's conclusions and ultimate decision should include: red flags identified, inquiry pursued, information obtained, memoranda to record
	 Do you live alone? With family? A caregiver? A friend? 	VES NIA	reasoning for conclusion.
	 Has anything changed in your living arrangements recently? 	YES N/A	9. If Index of Suspicion remains high after reasonable investigation, decline retainer to
	 Are you able to go wherever and whenever you wish? 		prepare the will.

RED FLAGS TO WATCH FOR Undue Influence - Recognition/Prevention

The red flags listed below may indicate the presence of undue influence on a will-maker. This list is not necessarily complete or definitive. It is an aid to practitioners to identify potential undue influence and provide an "index of suspicion" so that they will be alerted to carry out the necessary inquiries before preparing a will for execution.

See the text of the BCLI Guide for more detailed discussion.

YES NO N/A 1. Will-maker invests significant trust and confidence	YES NO N/A
in a person who is a beneficiary or is connected to a beneficiary (e.g. lawyer, doctor, clergy, financial	and/or the terms.
advisor, accountant, formal or informal caregiver, new "suitor" or partner).	Examples:
YES NO N/A 2 Isolation of will-maker resulting in dependence	 Unusual gifts; sudden change for no apparent reason; frequent changes.
on another for physical, emotional, financial or other needs.	 Marked change in instructions from prior wills. 3rd party initiates instructions which also benefit
YES NO N/A	3rd party; beneficiary speaks for will-maker;
3. Physical, psychological and behavioural characteristics of will-maker.	beneficiary offers to pay for new will; will-maker relies exclusively/unusually on notes to
Examples:	give instructions. • Spouses: joint retainer but one spouse provides
Lizampies.	instructions while other remains silent.
Dependence on beneficiary for sight, hearing,	 Recent death of a family member and other
mobility, speech, illness, illiteracy.	family appear to influence changing existing will.
Signs of neglect/self neglect (emaciation, Incomparison selection, begins a selection)	YES NO N/A
inappropriate clothing, bruising, untreated injuries). In state of shock after stressful situations (e.g. bad	5. Characteristics of influencer in will-maker's family or circle of acquaintance.
news, death of close person).	or or or acquaintance.
 Non-specific factors (e.g. loneliness, sexual 	Overly helpful.
bargaining, end of life issues).	Insists on being present during interview with
Cultural influences/conditioned responses (e.g.	lawyer/notary.
subservience to traditional authority in extended family; yielding to pressure for fear of revealing	 Contacts practitioner persistently after instructions are taken.
family conflicts leading to loss of face in community).	Person is known to practitioner to have history
 Impaired mental function from a psychiatric 	of abuse, including violence.
condition or a non-psychiatric cause (e.g. trauma or	 Practitioner observes negative and/or controlling
stroke).	attitude to will-maker.
Signs include (see BCLI Guide for full list pp 24-25):	 Practitioner is aware that influencer is in difficult financial circumstances and/or engages in
oligina molado (ace Boel Guide for full lijat pp 24-20).	substance abuse.
 Sudden onset of confusion. 	YES NO N/A
 Short term memory problems, disorientation, difficulty with finances. 	6. Practitioner's "gut feeling".
 Signs of depression (e.g. irritable, agitated, 	 Body language of will-maker indicates fear, anxiety
difficulty making decisions, sad face, bowed	insecurity, embarrassment etc.
head, general lethargy). • Delusions.	 "Influencer" is off putting or difficult to deal with at appointment.
 Extreme sense of well-being, continuous speech, 	 "Influencer" is rude to staff in office or on
inability to concentrate, poor judgment.	telephone, or is overly solicitous.
 Apprehensive or appearance of being worried, 	
distressed, overwhelmed.	
 Client is intoxicated/signs of substance abuse. Down's syndrome, autism or other developmental 	
- Down's syndrome, addishred developmental	



disorder.

· Inability to answer open-ended questions.

FLOW CHART OF RECOMMENDED PRACTICES Undue Influence - Recognition/Prevention

DOES CLIENT
HAVE TESTAMENTARY
CAPACITY?

NO

DO NOT PROCEED OR IF UNSURE, MAKE APPROPRIATE INQUIRIES.

YES

IS CLIENT
AWARE OF CONTENTS
OF WILL?

NO

DO NOT PROCEED OR IF UNSURE, MAKE APPROPRIATE INQUIRIES.

YES

IS CLIENT ACTING FREELY AND INDEPENDENTLY?

YES

PROCEED TO PREPARE WILL.

NOT SURE

IDENTIFY RED FLAGS AND/OR CONCERNS. FOLLOW UP AND/OR INVESTIGATE.

(SEE "RED FLAGS TO WATCH FOR" AND "CHECKLIST OF RECOMMENDED PRACTICES")

AFTER
INVESTIGATION: IS
CLIENT ACTING FREELY
AND INDEPENDENTLY?

YES

PROCEED TO PREPARE WILL.

NOT SURE

PRACTITIONER DECISION DEPENDS ON NATURE AND LEVEL OF CONCERN:

A) SUSPICION ONLY. DOCUMENT FILE. PROCEED.

B) SERIOUS CONCERNS. DO NOT PROCEED.

