# The Probater



**VOLUME 26, NUMBER 4, DECEMBER 2020** 



# HULL & HULL LLP WISHES YOU HAPPY HOLIDAYS & A HEALTHY AND PROSPEROUS NEW YEAR



#### Medical Assistance in Dying: An Overview for Estate Lawyers

By Suzana Popovic-Montag & Nick Esterbauer

A major turning point with respect to the legality of physician-assisted death (also known as medical assistance in dying, or "MAID" for short) came in 2015, with the Supreme Court of Canada's decision in *Carter v Canada (Attorney General)*<sup>i</sup>. Since that time, federal legislation has been updated and the option of physician assistance in dying has introduced several important considerations in respect of incapacity and estate planning.

Historically, MAID was prohibited under the Canadian *Criminal Code<sup>ii</sup>*. The Supreme Court, however, found that the provisions prohibiting MAID infringed upon the right of Canadians to life, liberty and security of the person, in violation of the Canadian *Charter of Rights and Freedoms<sup>iii</sup>*. The Court suspended the invalidity of the prohibition against MAID to allow the federal government the opportunity to update legislation to reflect this landmark decision.<sup>iv</sup> In 2016, *An Act to amend the* Criminal Code *and to make related amendments to other Acts (medical assistance in dying)<sup>v</sup> received Royal Assent.* The resulting amendments decriminalized MAID and provided criteria for its authorized access by Canadians.

#### Who Can (Currently) Access MAID?

Currently, MAID is available only to individuals able to satisfy the following test:

- a) they are eligible or, but for any applicable minimum period of residence or waiting period, would be eligible - for health services funded by a government in Canada;
- b) they are at least 18 years of age and capable of making decisions with respect to their health;
- c) they have a grievous and irremediable medical condition:
- d) they have made a voluntary request for medical assistance in dying that, in particular, was not made as a result of external pressure; and
- e) they give informed consent to receive medical assistance in dying after having been informed of

the means that are available to relieve their suffering, including palliative care. vi

As it currently stands, an individual who qualifies for MAID must provide express consent to receive it immediately prior to the procedure and their natural death must be "reasonably foreseeable" as a result of their condition, among other requirements outlined by the *Criminal Code*. VII

### How are Eligibility Rules for MAID Changing?

A number of individuals and groups have advocated for the amendment of MAID eligibility requirements to provide for the option of providing advanced requests for MAID.

In Truchon c Procureur général du Canada, viii the Quebec Superior Court of Justice considered the constitutional validity of the requirement that the natural death of individuals accessing MAID be reasonably foreseeable. Ultimately, the Court found that this requirement infringed the applicants' fundamental rights under Sections 7 and 15 of the Charter and declared these provisions of Quebec and Canadian MAID laws unconstitutional. Rather than appealing the *Truchon* decision, the federal government announced that it would propose legislative amendments to enhance access to MAID. Since then, legislators have been tasked with finding a better balance between the rights of those with grievous and irremediable medical conditions to die with dignity on one hand, and the protection of individuals who are vulnerable and whose capable wishes can no longer be confirmed on the other.

Proposed legislative amendments to enhance access to MAID further to the *Truchon* decision and in response to complaints regarding inaccessibility were ultimately introduced by way of Bill C-7. <sup>ix</sup> Most notably, Bill C-7 seeks to repeal the provision requiring a person's natural death to be reasonably foreseeable, and permits access to MAID by individuals who have previously consented to receive

it but are no longer capable, on the basis of their prior consent and agreement with the medical practitioner to receive MAID.

As of the date of publication, Bill C-7 was recently approved by the House of Commons. Whether it receives Royal Assent (in its current form or as amended) remains to be confirmed, but it is expected that eligibility rules for MAID will be updated in the near future.

## Can an Attorney or Guardian of Personal Care Consent to MAID?

As it currently stands, although the scope of authority of an attorney or guardian of personal care is broad, in order to access MAID, it is currently the patient him or herself who must consent to its administration. What is currently being contemplated by the federal government is a change to the time at which consent must be provided, not the extension of such rights to substitute decision-makers.

#### What Impact Does MAID Have on Life Insurance?

The terms of life insurance policies typically address the issue of whether a beneficiary will be entitled to the insurance proceeds if the insured commits suicide. Policy terms often include a restriction as to the payout of the policy if the insured dies by his or her own hands within a certain of number of years from the date on which the policy is taken out (generally two years).

The change in the law regarding MAID raised concerns in terms of whether it would be distinguished from suicide for life insurance purposes and should, accordingly, attract different treatment under the terms of a life insurance policy. Depending on the terms of the policy, the definition of suicide as it relates to voiding a life insurance policy may or may not encompass MAID. Accordingly, early on, there was some concern that MAID could have a significant impact on the implementation of the estate plans of those who choose to access it. Since then, the Ontario government has implemented legislation that provides protection and clarity for patients who have accessed MAID and their families. \* The legislation specifies that MAID does not impact a person's rights that otherwise exist under a contract or statute, including life insurance policies or other survivor benefits, unless an express contrary intention appears in the statute.x

#### Conclusion

Some clients may ask us during the incapacity and estate planning process about MAID and under what circumstances it may be available.

As with other end-of-life preferences, clients should be encouraged to communicate their wishes with their loved ones. A recent decision of the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal saw a scenario in which a wife of nearly fifty years petitioned to prevent her husband from receiving MAID after he had told her of his plan to access assisted death, highlighting the importance of having open discussions with family regarding this aspect of end-of-life care. xii

As MAID becomes more accessible and we continue to see it more and more in our practices, it will become increasingly important to stay up-to-date regarding its developments. We will continue to share any notable updates relating to MAID through our blog at hullandhull.com/blog.



Editor: Suzana Popovic-Montag spopovic@hullandhull.com

141 Adelaide St. W., Suite 1700 Toronto, Ontario M5H 3L5 Tel: 416.369.1140 Fax: 416.369.1517

Please note that all back issues of The Probater are available in full text on our website www.hullandhull.com

<sup>. [2015] 1</sup> SCR 331.

<sup>&</sup>quot; RSC 1985, c C-46.

III Enacted as Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982, 1982, c 11 (UK), which came into force on April 17, 1982.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>IV</sup> Supra note 1; Carter v Canada (Attorney General), [2016] 1 SCR 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> SC 2016, c 3.

vi Criminal Code, supra note 2, s 241.2(1).

lbid, ss 241.2(2)(d), 241.2(3)(h).

viii 2019 QCCS 3792.

ix Bill C-7, An Act to amend the Criminal Code (medical assistance in dying), 1st Sess, 43rd Parl, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup> Medical Assistance in Dying Statute Law Amendment Act, 2017, SO 2017, c 7.

XI Excellent Care for All Act, 2010, SO 2010, c 14, s 13.9.

xii Sorenson v Swinemar, 2020 NSCA 62. The wife's application and appeal were unsuccessful, and the husband accessed MAID the day after the Court of Appeal's decision was released.